

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/752,172	SHAUGHNESSY ET AL.
	Examiner James H. Blackwell	Art Unit 2176

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) James H. Blackwell. (3) _____.

(2) Shelly Beckstrand. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 August 2005

Time: 11:30 AM EDT

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

William S. Bashore
 WILLIAM BASHORE
 PRIMARY EXAMINER
 9/1/2005


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted attorney in response to a 35 U.S.C. 101 issue with the Specification (pp. 157-158, paragraph subtitled Alternative Embodiments) wherein the content contained language determined to suggest "carrier waves" as a tangible storage medium. Examiner suggested changes to make this paragraph of the Specification compliant under 35 U.S.C. 101. Attorney agreed to the corrections.

William S. Bashore
WILLIAM BASHORE
PRIMARY EXAMINER

9/1/2005